Particle Size, Precursor, and Support Effects in the Hydrogenolysis of Alkanes over Supported Rhodium Catalysts

B. Coq¹, R. Dutartre, F. Figueras, and T. Tazi

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Physique et Cinétique Chimique Appliquées, UA 418 du CNRS, *ENSCM, 8 Rue de l'Ecole Normale, 34075 Montpellier Cédex 2, France*

Received September 20, 1989

A series of Rh catalysts of widely varying dispersion has been prepared using γ -alumina as support and Rh acetylacetonate $(Rh(acac)_3)$ as precursor. The hydrogenolyses of *n*-hexane (*n*H), methylcyclopentane (MCP), and 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane (TeMB) were investigated as model reactions. Clear dependence of turnover frequency on Rh particle size is observed for nH and MCP hydrogenolysis, but only slight changes of selectivities occur with these alkanes. By contrast, large modifications of both specific activity and selectivity appear when TeMB is reacted. TeMB hydrogenolysis is thus a reliable tool for studying modifications of the surface structure of rhodium particles. This probe was used to investigate the effects of precursor and support on rhodium catalysts. The effect of chlorine is appreciable and shifts the selectivity of TeMB hydrogenolysis toward that of large particles. This is attributed to a different morphology of the rhodium particles. When the effect of dispersion of the metal is taken into account, no support effect is observed when $SiO₂$ or $ZrO₂$ is used as support. The different properties of rhodium on MgO can also be attributed to a different morphology of the particles. For Rh/TiO , prepared from $RhCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O$, the catalytic properties are similar to those of $Rh/A1₂O₃$ of moderate dispersion whatever temperature is used for reduction. Rh/TiO₂ prepared from Rh(acac)₃ and reduced at 573 and 773 K simulates the catalytic properties of particles smaller than indeed observed. This effect can be interpreted by a partial coverage of the Rh surface by TiO_x species (SMSI). This SMSI effect disappears upon reduction at 873 K. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

It is still difficult to predict the effect on the catalytic properties of the precursors and carriers used to prepare supported metallic catalysts. A detailed study on the influence of precursors on synthesis gas conversion was reported recently by Kip *et al.* (1). For $Rh/SiO₂$ obtained from $RhCl₃$, the turnover frequency (TOF) increased when the percentage of exposed Rh atoms decreased, whereas a constant TOF was observed for the samples prepared from $Rh(NO₃)₃$. In both cases, the selectivity to methane decreased. Using the urea technique (RhCl₃ in buffered urea at pH 2.5), well-dispersed samples could be obtained, and these showed the catalytic behaviour of large particles prepared by the incipient

wetness technique with RhCl₃. Such a behaviour suggested that different morphologies of the metallic particles are obtained when either the nature of the precursor or the method of preparation is changed.

Other examples can be found concerning the conversion of hydrocarbons. Nunez *et al. (2)* compared the catalytic properties for ethane hydrogenolysis of Rh particles supported by silica or alumina and obtained either from $RhCl₃$ or $Rh(NO₃)₃$. The influence of precursor was very small when the same carrier was used, but $Rh/SiO₂$ was more active than $Rh / Al₂O₃$ whatever the precursor. A recent paper from our group (3) reported a strong influence of the size of Rh particles on both activity and selectivity for alkane hydrogenolysis. Selectivity changes are particularly interesting since they should reflect different types of adsorbed species. A good example is the conversion of

0021-9517/90 \$3.00 Copyright © 1990 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane which gives, as main product, either iC_7 on small particles, or iC_4 on large particles. This change of selectivity can be attributed to different types of adsorbed species, as proposed by Brown *et al.* (4) from D_2 exchange, which would be $\alpha\gamma$ on sites of low coordination and $\alpha\delta$ on sites of high coordination. The decomposition of metallacyclopentanes, reported by Grubbs *et al. (5)* gives experimental demonstration that splitting of C-C bonds in metallacyclopentanes ($\alpha\delta$ -like organometallic complexes) requires a high coordination of the metal atom. McKinney *et al. (6)* proposed an interpretation of this phenomenon in terms of orbital symmetry: C-C bond splitting is symmetry allowed only for a high coordination of the metal atom.

Alkane hydrogenolysis is therefore a very sensitive probe for the investigation of structure effects. In a preliminary study (7) , Rh/Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared from different precursors were compared. It was reported that well-dispersed catalysts (Rh particle size ≤ 1 nm) prepared from RhCl₃ exhibited the same selectivity patterns as larger particles prepared from CI⁻-free precursors. This effect was attributed to a different morphology of the Rh particles. In a former study on the hydrogenolysis of cyclopentane over $Rh/A1_2O_3$ catalysts (8) it was reported that faceted particles, which exhibit dense planes, were more active than small particles. Recent work on the effect of redox cycling on the rate of ethane hydrogenolysis over $Rh/SiO₂$ catalysts has also illustrated the great importance of particle morphology *(9, 10).*

The relative importance of particle size, morphology of the particles, and coverage of the surface by TiO_x species on the properties of $Rh/TiO₂$ is still obscure. Most of the work concerning strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) has been performed on CO hydrogenation. On $Rh/TiO₂$ obtained from RhC13, van 't Blik *et al. (11)* reported that, when measured at similar dispersions, the activities of $Rh / Al₂O₃$ and non-SMSI $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts hardly differed. Reduction of the Rh/TiO₂ catalysts at 773 K decreased their initial activities substantially compared to reduction at 523 K, but the steady-state activities were very near. It was thereby concluded that the SMSI state no longer remains, to a great extent, as the reaction proceeds.

For the conversion of hydrocarbons on $Rh/TiO₂$, rather conflicting results have been reported. Resasco and Hailer *(12)* compared the hydrogenolysis of ethane, butane, and cyclohexane and the dehydrogenation of cyclohexane on $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts prepared in a basic medium (Cl⁻-free). When the size of Rh particles decreased, the TOF for alkane hydrogenolysis slightly increased for catalysts reduced at 473 K, but decreased sharply for catalysts reduced at 773 K. High-temperature reduction suppressed selectively hydrogenolysis of cyclohexane, whereas dehydrogenation was retained. An effect of the support was thus evidenced, which was the highest when the Rh particles were the smallest. Schepers *et al. (13)* compared the hydrogenolysis of n-hexane, 2,2-dimethylbutane, and methylcyclopentane on $Rh/TiO₂$ prepared from RhCl₃. The effect of the reduction temperature on the catalytic properties was small: a decrease in activity by a factor lower than l0 was observed after reduction at 1138 K, and the selectivity was hardly affected for n-hexane and methylcyclopentane. With neohexane, at a reaction temperature of 564 K, the selectivity for neopentane changed from 77.2% (conversion = 5%) after reduction at 573 K, to 79.8% (conversion = 9.5%) or 72% (conversion = 1.0%) after reduction at 1138 K. It can thus be concluded that neither the activity nor the selectivity was significantly altered. For $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts obtained by impregnation with RhCl₃, Anderson *et al. (14)* reported for n-hexane hydrogenolysis an increase in turnover frequency in the SMSI state, but the selectivity was unaffected.

These differences in catalytic behaviour for alkane hydrogenolysis can be ascribed,

in part, to the nature of the reactant. The structure sensitivity of different alkanes has been reported previously (3) to be rather sensitive to the structure of the hydrocarbon: the influence of particle size on TOF was small for *n*-hexane, medium for 2.2dimethylbutane, and large for 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane hydrogenolysis. The variations of the initial selectivity (conversion $\langle 5\% \rangle$ with the particle size were inappreciable for 2,2-dimethylbutane, relatively small for n-hexane, but noteworthy for 2,2,3,3 -tetramethylbutane.

The comparison of *n*-hexane, $2,2,3,3$ tetramethylbutane, and methylcyclopentane conversions can therefore give a clearer description of $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts. The present article summarizes some of the results obtained for supported Rh catalysts when changing the precursor and/or the carrier.

EXPERIMENTAL

Catalysts. Rh/Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared, either by ligand exchange between protons of the carrier with rhodium acetylacetonate $(Rh(acac)_{3})$ dissolved in toluene (15) , or by ion exchange between RhCl₃ \cdot $3H₂O$ and γ -Al₂O₃ in acidic medium (pH 2) or $(Rh(NH₃)₅Cl)Cl₂$ and γ -Al₂O₃ in basic medium (pH 9). The support was γ -Al₂O₃ from Rhône-Poulenc (200 m^2/g), and the precursors were $Rh (acac)$ ₃ (Aldrich, purity $>97\%$), RhCl₃ · 3H₂O (Merck), and $(Rh(NH₃)₅Cl)Cl₂$ (Ventron).

 $Rh/SiO₂$ catalysts were prepared by ion exchange at $pH 9$ between $(Rh(NH₃)₅Cl)Cl₂$ and SiO₂ from Davison (350 m²/g).

 Rh/MgO and $Rh/ZrO₂$ catalysts were prepared by deposition on the carrier of $Rh_4(CO)_{12}$ dissolved in *n*-pentane. Magnesia was obtained by pyrolysis of $Mg(OC₂H₅)$ ₂ at 573 K and Rh/ZrO₂ catalysts were supplied by the Politecnico di Milano (Dr. C. Mazzochia).

 $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts were prepared either by deposition of $Rh (acac)_3$ in toluene on $TiO₂$ or by ion exchange between RhCl₃ at pH 2 and TiO₂. Titania was Degussa P25 $(120 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})$.

Characterization. The chemisorption of hydrogen was carried out in a conventional volumetric apparatus at 298 K in the 0- to 20-kPa pressure range. The sample was first reactivated *in situ* under flowing hydrogen at 673 K overnight, then evacuated to 1.2 \times 10^{-4} Pa, at the same temperature, for 3 h. High-purity hydrogen (99.9995%) was then adsorbed. The linear part of the isotherm was extrapolated to zero pressure to determine the hydrogen uptake. On very welldispersed samples with particle sizes below 1 nm, the stoichiometry of hydrogen adsorption reached values higher than 1. Kip *et al. (16)* have reported that the stoichiometry of hydrogen adsorption changes with particle size and surpasses unity on small particles. This introduces an uncertainty about the number of surface Rh atoms, which can reach 30% on well-dispersed catalysts. It must then be realized that small changes in catalytic activities have little significance; changes in selectivity thus appear to have a higher reliability for characterizing a catalyst. The size of the metallic particles was checked by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the catalysts. The distributions of particle sizes reported in Fig. 1 attest to the homogeneity of particle sizes. The good agreement between chemisorption and TEM for $Rh/Al₂O₃$ attests to the reliability of the value of the average size.

Catalytic tests. The reactions, in highpurity hydrogen (99.99%), of *n*-hexane $(nH,$ Fluka, purity >99.5%), methylcyclopentane (MCP, Carlo Erba, purity >99.63%), and 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane (TeMB, Aldrich, purity >99.99%) were carried out under atmospheric pressure in a microflow reactor. The effluents were analyzed by sampling on-line with a gas chromatograph equipped with a capillary column J and W $(30 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ mm} \text{ i.d., DB1 }$ apolar bonded phase). An aliquot (50 to 100 mg) of the sample used for chemisorption measurements was reactivated *in situ* under flowing hydrogen at 673 K overnight. The reaction temperatures ranged from 463 to 508 K, and

Sample	Precursor	wt% Rh	Calcination temperature (K)	Reduction temperature (K)	H/Rh	Particle size by TEM (nm)
Support: alumina						
RAI2C	Rh (acac)	0.4	673	673	1.5	\leq 1
RA _l 2D	Rh (acac)	0.45	673	673	1.7	
RAI2E	Rh (acac)	0.74	773	773	1.5	
RA16	Rh (acac)	1.16	N ₀	773	0.9	
RA ₁₈	Rh (acac)	1.32	N ₀	773	0.74	
RA _l 4	Rh (acac)	1.4	No	773	0.75	
RA ₁₃	Rh(acac)	2.6	N ₀	973 ^a	0.35	
RA ₁₅	Rh (acac)	$\overline{2}$	N ₀	1173 ^a	0.08	
RAI7	RhCl ₃ , 3H ₂ O	0.4	673	773	1.1	
CGF22	RhCl ₃ , 3H ₂ O	0.25	673	773	1	$\mathbf{1}$
CGAF	RhCl ₃ , 3H ₂ O	1.80	N ₀	773	0.27	4.8
Support: TiO ₂						
RT _{i1}	Rh (acac)	0.4		573	0.84	$1.5 - 1.6$
RT _{i2} S	Rh (acac)	0.4		773	0.23	$1.5 - 1.6$
RT _{i3} S	Rh (acac)	0.4	573	873	0.23	2.2
RT _{i4}	RhCl ₃ H ₂ O	0.4		573	0.50	
RT _{i5}	RhCl ₃ , 3H ₂ O	0.4		773	0.15	
RT _{i6}	RhCl ₃ , 3H ₂ O	0.4		873	0.20	
Support: ZrO ₂						
RhZrO ₂	$Rh_4(CO)_{12}$	1		573	1	
Support: MgO						
RhMgO	$Rh_4(CO)_{12}$	0.9		573	1	
Support: SiO ₂						
CGF17	Rh((NH ₃),Cl)Cl	0.25	700	773	1	1

TABLE 1 **Preparation and Characteristics of Rhodium Catalysts**

Reduction with hydrogen saturated by water (2.6 kPa).

the molar ratios of reactants were $H_2/nH =$ $14.0, H_2/MCP = 15.5, H_2/TeMB = 44. Un$ **der these conditions of reaction the catalytic activity was stable as a function of time on stream.**

Activities are expressed as turnover frequencies (TOF) or number of molecules of reactant converted per surface metal atom and per hour. Selectivities are defined as $Si = 100 \frac{C_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i}$, where C_i is the molar **concentration of product i. Selectivities were measured at low conversions, usually less than 5%, in order to avoid secondary reactions. They therefore represent initial selectivities. Hydrogenolysis of alkanes on rhodium under these conditions is a simple reaction, with a single C-C bond splitting.** The fragmentation factors $(\xi = C_i/(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i/n))$ C_i) defined following Paal and Tétényi (17) **are close to 2, except for large particles on** which multiple fragmentations $(\xi > 2)$ can **occur.**

RESULTS

Catalytic properties of Rh/Al203 obtained from Rh(acac)3. **The results obtained on this series of catalysts are reported in** Tables 2-4 for nH , TeMB, and MCP, re**spectively. These catalysts contain no chlorine, and the dispersion could be changed in a wide range. It appears clearly that the sensitivity of the reaction to the size of Rh particles varies with the nature of the hydrocarbon: hydrogenolysis of n-hexane shows a weak sensitivity, like that of**

FIG. 1. Particle size distribution of some supported rhodium catalysts.

	TABLE				
--	--------------	--	--	--	--

Catalytic Properties of Catalysts Prepared from Rh(acac)₃ for the Conversion of n-Hexane at 493 K

Catalytic Properties of Catalysts Prepared from $Rh(acac)$, for the Conversion of 2,2,3,3-Tetramethylbutane at 493 K

Sample	H/Rh	Conv. (%)	TOF (h^{-1})	Product distribution (%)			
				C_1	iC_4	223 TrMB ^a	
RAI2C	1.5		6.5	49.6	4.4	45.9	
RAI2D	1.7	3.3	12	52.8	4.7	42.5	
RAI ₂ E	1.5	2.3	7.2	45.0	13.7	41.2	
RA16	0.9	4.2	7.6	16.6	68	15.4	
R A 18	0.74	5	24	11.6	78.7	9.7	
RA14	0.75	2.3	11.3	6.6	86.1	7.3	
RA13	0.35	4.5	20	4.7	91.4	3.9	
RAI5	0.08	2.6	33	5.9	91.5	2.6	

223TrMB, 2,2,3-trimethylbutane.

methylcyclopentane. By contrast, two different reactions are observed for TeMB: there is practically pure splitting of the central bond on particles larger than 3 nm, and pure demethylation on particles smaller than I nm. Some changes in the turnover frequency are also noted, which confirm the sensitivity of the reaction to the surface structure.

Influence of Cl- ions on the properties of $Rh/Al₂O₃$. The catalytic properties, for the conversion of TeMB, of a series of catalysts containing Cl⁻ ions are reported in Table 5. Chlorine can be introduced either in the first step of ion exchange or later by

treatment of a metallic catalyst prepared from $Rh (acac)_3$ by a HCl solution. On the catalysts prepared from RhCl₃, the amount of chlorine on the final catalyst can also be changed by the chemical treatments: the varying residual Cl⁻ content of RAl7 and CGF22 may then be a result of a different partial pressure of water in the course of reduction.

At low dispersion, the comparison of CGAF and RAI3 samples shows that the effect of the precursor is small. At high dispersion, however, two effects appear: there is a clear decrease in activity, which reaches a factor between 10 and 100, and an increase in the selectivity for iC_4 , which is very clear at the highest Cl⁻ content (CGF22), with 26.5% *i*C₄ selectivity compared to 4-14% for well-dispersed RAI2C and RA12E.

For a Cl⁻-free sample, the adsorption of C1- ions from HCI solution also induces an effect on activity and selectivity. Starting from RAI2C, as parent catalyst, different amounts of Cl⁻ were introduced from HCl solutions. The dried solids were then reduced at 673 K directly (RAI2Cll, RAI2C13), or suffered an intermediate calcination step at 623 K (RAI2CI2). Chemical analysis provides evidence that, upon such an acid treatment by HC1, 90% of the chlorine introduced is removable; the residual

	ш		
--	---	--	--

Catalytic Properties of Catalysts Prepared from $Rh (acac)$ ₃ for the Conversion of Methylcyclopentane at 493 K

444 COQ ET AL.

TABLE 5

RAI7 washed with **water at** 353 K, **then reduced at** 673 K.

b RA12C treated with HC1 solution (C1-/Rh = 100), dried, **reduced at** 673 K.

¢ RAI2C treated with HCI solution (CI-/Rh = 100), dried, **calcined at** 673 K, **reduced at** 673 K.

 d RAI2C treated with HCl solution (Cl⁻/Rh = 10), dried, reduced at 673 K.

 ϵ RA12C treated with NH₄OH (NH₄/Rh = 100), dried, reduced at 673 K.

content on RAI2CI1 compares well with that of GGF22 and RAI7 catalysts.

It appears that the addition of CI- by impregnation reduces the turnover frequency by a factor of 6 and shifts the selectivity for isobutane from 4.4 to 8-17%. The calcination step removes the contamination of the surface by Cl⁻, since the turnover frequency is restored, but the selectivity to iC_4 **remains high (13.7%). The impregnation of**

RA12C by a NH₄OH solution (NH₄/Rh = **100) results in much smaller modifications of the catalytic properties.**

Effect of the support. **Table 6 presents the catalytic properties, for the conversion of TeMB, of a series of catalysts prepared** using $SiO₂$, MgO, $ZrO₂$, or TiO₂ as carriers. **Chlorine-free precursors were used for** $MgO-$, $ZrO₂$ -, and $TiO₂$ -based catalysts, and **under the preparation conditions used**

п. -п.		
-----------	--	--

Influence of the Support on the Catalytic Properties of Rhodium for the Hydrogenolysis of 2,2,3,3-Tetramethylbutane at 493 K

Sample	Reduction temp. (K)	H/Rh	Conv. (%)	TOF (h^{-1})	Product distribution (%)		
					C_{1}	iC_a	iC_7
Precursor $Rh (acac)$,							
RT _{i1}	573	0.84		8	39.4	26.3	34 3
RT _{i2} S	773	0.23	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	12	36	28	35
RT _{i3} S	873	0.23	4.2	18	8.2	84.2	7.7
Precursor $RhCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$							
RT _{i4}	573	0.5	3.4	14	10.1	83.3	6.6
RT _{i5}	773	0.15	6.5	69	1.1	88	5.8
RT _{i6}	873	0.20	4.2	54.5	7.9	89.5	7.5

Influence of the Temperature of Reduction of Rh/TiO₂ Catalysts on Their Catalytic Properties for the Hydrogenolysis of 2,2,3,3-Tetramethylbutane at 493 K

Rh/SiO2 did not retain chlorine *(18).* Rh/ $TiO₂$ was reduced at 573 K in order to avoid the SMSI state. These well-dispersed catalysts must be compared to RA12 samples reported in Table 3. It appears then that the catalytic properties of $Rh/SiO₂$ and $Rh/ZrO₂$ are comparable to those of RA12E. Therefore no large effect of the support is observed. Rh/MgO, however, which presents a high dispersion of Rh, shows the selectivity of medium-size Rh particles and a very low activity. The nH and MCP conversions give normal behaviours, as expected for reactions much less sensitive to the particle size.

TABLE₈

Turnover Frequencies and Distribution of Products for the Conversion of n -Hexane on Rh/TiO₂ Catalysts Reduced at Different Temperatures

Sample	TOF (h^{-1})	Product distribution $(\%)$						
		C.	C,		C_3 nC_4 nC_5		iC.	
RTi1	147	16	27	24	24	9		
RTi ₂ S	93	17.2	25	23	23	11		
RT _{i3} S	118	17 7	23.9	22	23	13	0.2	
RT _{i4}	57	23	22	19	21	15		
RT _{i5}	243	23	22	18	21	16		
RT _{i6}	26	15	24	24	24	12	0.25	

Note. Samples are described in Table 7.

The catalytic activities of $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts reduced at 573 K are close to those of Rh/AI_2O_3 of similar dispersion and are prepared by the same procedures. Nevertheless, both RTil and RTi4, prepared from different precursors but reduced at 573 K, exhibit selectivity patterns for TeMB hydrogenolysis typical of Rh/Al_2O_3 of smaller particle sizes, RA12E and RAI4, respectively (Table 7). Reduction of $Rh/TiO₂$ at 773 or 873 K decreases the H_2 uptake, which does not correspond to a sintering of the Rh particles (Fig. 1). TOF increases slightly, but the selectivity is not affected, with the exception of RTi3S which behaves like medium-size Rh particles. After treatment at 873 K the effect of the precursor disappears. The catalytic properties for the hydrogenolysis of n-hexane are reported in Table 8 and show a moderate increase, or stability, for turnover frequencies and little variation in the distribution of products.

DISCUSSION

The first point which appears clearly is the different structure sensitivity of nH , MCP, and TeMB hydrogenolysis. The two former reactions show appreciable changes in TOF, but not in selectivities. By contrast, clear changes in both activity and selectivity occur with TeMB hydrogenolysis which reveals itself as very sensitive to the

surface structure and as able to detect more subtle changes on the Rh particles. The conclusions reached previously concerning the effect of particle size on the selectivity of TeMB hydrogenolysis (3) remain valid and are even amplified when using $Rh (acac)_3$, since the smallest particles catalyze practically pure demethylation. The proposal that the surface intermediate is an αy -adsorbed species on small particles and an $\alpha\delta$ -adsorbed species on large particles finds a strong experimental support. As discussed earlier, the hydrogenolysis of nH can proceed by several routes and is then much less structure sensitive (3) . A consistent description of these results can be given assuming that the coordination number of the surface rhodium atoms controls the catalytic properties. With this reasonable hypothesis the results reported here for TeMB hydrogenolysis are the catalytic counterpart of the stoichiometric reaction of metallacyclopentanes (5) and TeMB hydrogenolysis can be used as a catalytic probe of the surface.

The effect of the precursor on the catalytic properties of Rh depends on the size of the particles: at low dispersion the precursor has no clear effect. At high dispersion, the catalysts obtained from RhCl₃ show a lower activity and a higher selectivity for $iC₄$. The decrease in activity can be reproduced in part by adsorbing Cl⁻ ions on RAI2C, but a calcination at 623 K followed by reduction at 673 K restores the initial activity. It can then be postulated reasonably that redox cycling, performed during the preparation of CGF22 and RAI7 samples, scavenged Cl^- ions from the Rh surface, as reported by Kip *et al. (1).* The changes in selectivity would not then be a result of chlorine adsorption, but of a reconstruction on the surface of the Rh particles induced by chlorine. Chlorine on the support, in the vicinity of the Rh particles, could also have a strong influence by changing the contact angle with the Rh particles, for instance. The higher selectivity for *i*-butane of these catalysts prepared from $RhCl₃$ can be reconciled with the observed dispersion assuming that these small particles are epitaxially located on the support and thus exhibit dense planes, as is known for Pd/Al₂O₃ (19).

From the comparison of the catalysts prepared using several supports and chlorine-free precursors, it can be concluded that no support effect exists on $SiO₂$, $ZrO₂$, or Al_2O_3 . Two supports have a particular behaviour: MgO and $TiO₂$. Well-dispersed Rh/MgO exhibits the selectivity of larger Rh particles on alumina. In that case also, a modification of the morphology of the Rh particles can be an acceptable interpretation, but the low turnover frequency is not understood.

As stated previously, the two $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts reduced at 573 K (RTil, RTi4) show catalytic properties similar to those of smaller Rh particles when supported by Al_2O_3 . Moreover, the selectivity is little modified when the samples are reduced at 773 K (RTi2S, RTi5) and are then pushed into the SMSI state. It then seems likely that some kind of SMSI is initiated even at 573 K, as reported by Anderson *et al. (14).* For instance, from its H_2 uptake (H/Rh = 0.84), RTi1 should exhibit an iC_4 selectivity for TeMB hydrogenolysis of 70% at least, so the experimental value of 26% indicates a lower coordination of the Rh surface atom. This behaviour can be rationalized assuming a dilution of the Rh surface by migrating TiO_x species.

By reduction of $Rh/TiO₂$ at high temperature TOF tends to increase. This behaviour is not correlated with a great change of the particle size as evidenced by TEM exami- nation (Fig. 1), and also reported by others for Rh/TiO₂ (13, 14). This increase in TOF may reflect either a lower coverage by TiO_x species, which could agglomerate into islands, or a modification of the strength of H_2 adsorption as proposed for Ru/TiO₂ model catalysts *(21).*

The comparison of the present results obtained for $Rh/TiO₂$ catalysts prepared from $Rh (acac)$ ₃ with those in the literature implies that the salt used to introduce rhodium has a large influence on the catalytic properties of the final catalyst. Mériaudeau et *al. (20)* **and Schepers** *et al. (13)* **reported a decrease in activity for hydrogenolysis of n-butane, n-hexane, 2,2-dimethylbutane,** and methylcyclopentane on Rh/TiO₂ in the **SMSI state; in those investigations the catalysts were prepared by impregnation with RhCI3 and the Rh particle size ranged from 2 to 2.5 nm. Anderson** *et al. (14)* **prepared** $Rh/TiO₂$ by impregnation with $RhCl₃$ at pH **8 and obtained samples of similar dispersion (H/Rh = 0.59). They report an increase by a factor of 2-3 in the turnover frequency for n-butane hydrogenolysis after reduction at 773 K, which is in agreement with the results reported here for RTi4 and RTi5 samples. In the present work, for both TeMB and n-hexane conversions the turnover frequency is either constant or higher after reduction at 773 K, and therefore the conditions of preparation of**

the catalyst have a notable influence on the catalytic properties, most probably by changing the reducibility of the metal or the surface properties of the support.

In conclusion, the catalytic properties of rhodium for the hydrogenolysis of alkanes are very sensitive to the size of the Rh particles, and thereby to the coordination of Rh surface atoms. Their properties can be changed over a wide range depending on the precursor, or the support, used in the preparation. Most of the changes can be accounted for by a modification in the morphology of the rhodium particles. In the case of TiO2, a partial coverage of the dense planes of the smaller Rh particles by TiO_x **species agrees with the results of TeMB conversion. However, those particles of rhodium larger than 2 nm show the same catalytic properties whether they are supported by titania or by alumina.**

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The help of the Service Central d'Analyse of CNRS **(Solaize) is warmly acknowledged.**

REFERENCES

- 1. Kip, B. J., Hermans, E. G. F., and Prins, R., *in* **"Proceedings, 9th International Congress on** Catalysis, Calgary, 1988" (M. J. Phillips and M. Ternan, Eds.), Vol. 2, p. 821. **Chem. Institute of Canada, Ottawa,** 1988.
- 2. Nunez, G. M., Patrignani, A. R., and Rouco, *A. J., J. Catal.* 98, 554 (1986).
- 3. Coq, B., and Figueras, F., *J. Mol. Catal. 40,* 93 (1987).
- 4. Brown, R., Kemball, C., and Sadler, I. H., *in* **"Proceedings, 9th International Congress on** Catalysis, Calgary, 1988" (M. J. Phillips and M. Ternan, Eds.), Vol. 3, p. 1013. **Chem. Institute of Canada, Ottawa,** 1988.
- 5. (a) Grubbs, R. H., Miyashita, A., Liu, M., and Burk, P., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 99,* 3863 (1977); (b) **Grubbs,** R. H., and Miyashita, *A., J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 100, 1300 (1978).
- 6. McKinney, R. J., Thorn, D. L., Hoffmann, R., and Stocks, *A., J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 103, 2595 (1981).
- 7. Coq, B., Figueras, F., and Tazi, T., *Z. Phys. D.* 12, 579 (1989).
- 8. Fuentes, S., and Figueras, *F., J. CataL* 61, 443 (1980).
- 9. Lee, C., and Schmidt, *L. D., J. Catal.* 101, 123 (1986).
- *10.* Gao, S., and Schmidt, *L. D., J. Catal.* 115, 356 (1989).
- *11.* van 't Blik, H. F. J., Vis, J. C., Huizinga, T., and **Prins,** R., *Appl. Catal.* 19, 405 (1985).
- *12.* Resasco, D. E., and Hailer, G. L., *Stud. Surf. Sei. Catal.* 11, 105 (1982).
- *13.* Schepers, F. J., **van Senden,** J. G., **van Broekhoven,** E. H., and Ponec, V., *J. Catal.* **94,** 400 (1985).
- *14.* Anderson, J. B. F., Burch, R., and Cairns, J. A., *Appl. Catal. 28, 255* (1986).
- *15.* Boitiaux, J. P., Cosyns, J., and Vasudevan, S., *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 16, 123 (1982).
- *16.* Kip, B. J., Duivenvoorden, F. B. M., **Koningsberger,** D. C., and Prins, R., *J. Catal.* 105, 26 (1987).
- *17.* Pafil, Z., and T6t6nyi, P., *Nature (London)* 267, 234 (1977).
- *18.* Marquez da Cruz, G., Djega-Mariadassou, G., and Bugli, G., *Appl. Catal.* 17, 205 (1985).
- *19.* Dexpert, H., Freund, E., Lesage, E., and **Lynch,** *J. P., Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 11, 53 (1982).
- *20.* M6riaudeau, P., Ellestad, O. H., Dufaux, M., and **Naccache,** *C., J. Catal.* 75, 243 (1982).
- *21.* Badyal, J. P. S., Gellman, A. J., and **Lambert,** *R. M., J. Catal.* 11, 383 (1988).